


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,557	BONNERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael P. Barker	1626	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Michael P. Barker</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Cathy McCarty</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>16 February 2006</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>102(b); 112 1st Paragraph</i>			
Claims discussed:			
<i>1-5;7-9</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>Luscher et al., "Deblocking of o-Nitrophenylsufenyl-Protected Peptides by Ammonium Thiocyanate and (2-Methyl-1-indolyl)acetic acid", Helv. Chim. Acta 66(2):602-605 (1983).</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Whether Attorney could get client's permission to amend certain claim in order to get an allowance in lieu of rejections. Applicant chose written rejections instead of an allowance.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	